

Fullerton Legislative Matrix
July 1, 2021

The City's legislative advocates, Townsend Public Affairs, developed the below Legislative Matrix in addition to an updated 2021 Legislative Platform. The below matrix includes upcoming bills that the Legislative Subcommittee may choose to take positions on upon adoption of the platform.

Bill and Position	Summary	Bill Status	Sample of Support and Opposition	Recommended Position and Legislative Platform
Planning and Zoning				
AB 1401 (Friedman)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prohibits the enforcement of parking requirements on residential and commercial developments, within ½ mile of public transit or in low vehicle miles traveled areas 	Will be heard in Senate Governance and Finance on July 1	<p><u>Support:</u> CA YIMBY, Bay Area Council, CBIA, Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, People for Housing – Orange County, Silicon Valley Leadership Group</p> <p><u>Oppose:</u> LOCC, California Cities for Local Control, Cities of Corona, Bellflower, Truckee,</p>	<p><u>Recommended Position:</u> Oppose</p> <p><u>Legislative Platform:</u></p> <p>Land Use Planning and Housing: Support housing measures that promote the development and enhancement of safe and affordable housing and accessible housing within the City for all economic segments of the population, while still retaining local control.</p>
SB 6 (Caballero)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would require cities to allow residential development on commercially zoned property where office and retail uses are permitted, so long as the parcel is not adjacent to industrial use. A housing development project must comply with density requirements, local zoning, parking, design requirements, and include an unspecified percentage of affordable housing units 	Has not been assigned to policy committee in the Assembly	<p><u>Support:</u> Abundant Housing LA, CA Association of Realtors, Los Angeles Business Council, Turner Center</p> <p><u>Opposition:</u> CA Coalition for Rural Housing, CA Housing Partnership, Southern CA Association of Nonprofit</p>	<p><u>Recommended Position:</u> Oppose</p> <p><u>Legislative Platform:</u></p> <p>Land Use Planning and Housing: Support housing measures that promote the development and enhancement of safe and affordable housing and accessible</p>

Fullerton Legislative Matrix
July 1, 2021

The City's legislative advocates, Townsend Public Affairs, developed the below Legislative Matrix in addition to an updated 2021 Legislative Platform. The below matrix includes upcoming bills that the Legislative Subcommittee may choose to take positions on upon adoption of the platform.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers must certify that the project is a public work or will pay prevailing wage • A local agency may exempt a lot zoned for commercial retail or office use from the bill if the local agency reallocates the lost residential density to other lots, so there is no net loss in residential density 		Housing, Urban Counties of CA, RCRC, ACCOC, Dozens of Cities	housing within the City for all economic segments of the population, while still retaining local control.
SB 9 (Atkins)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would allow for duplexes and lot splits in single family residential zones, by right, if the proposed development meets certain requirements. • In order to qualify for ministerial approval, the two new parcels that replace the existing single parcel, must be of equal size. • Combined with ADU law, this law will allow even more units to be build on the same parcel without public review • Authorizes a local agency to require parking of one unit per space, but prohibits a parking requirement if the project is within ½ mile of high quality transit 	Will next be heard in Assembly Appropriations	<u>Support:</u> CA Apartment Association, CA BIA, CA Chamber of Commerce, CA YIMBY, LA Chamber of Commerce, People for Housing Orange County <u>Opposition:</u> Dozens of individual cities, Livable California, Homeowners Associations, Neighborhood Associations, League of California Cities, Livable California, California Cities for Local Control, California Contract Cities Association, League of CA Cities	<u>Recommended Position:</u> Oppose <u>Legislative Platform:</u> Land Use Planning and Housing: Support housing measures that promote the development and enhancement of safe and affordable housing and accessible housing within the City for all economic segments of the population, while still retaining local control.
Local Governance				

Fullerton Legislative Matrix
July 1, 2021

The City's legislative advocates, Townsend Public Affairs, developed the below Legislative Matrix in addition to an updated 2021 Legislative Platform. The below matrix includes upcoming bills that the Legislative Subcommittee may choose to take positions on upon adoption of the platform.

<p>SB 323 (Caballero)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This bill stems from a lawsuit last year, where 81 water agencies, including Fullerton, were sued in a class action lawsuit, calling into question their ability to lawfully and appropriately charge residents and businesses for the costs of water supplies used during fire protection services and for the costs associated with the fire hydrant the water supplier owns and maintains within the community. This bill would permit water and sewer agencies to utilize California's validating statutes, existing laws that establish expedited procedures for challenging certain government acts, to ensure that any modifications to utility rates are quickly challenged and utility rates cannot be repeatedly challenged in court for years after they go into effect. The bill would establish a 120 day statute of limitations. 	<p>Will next be heard in Assembly Appropriations</p>	<p><u>Support:</u> ACWA, League of CA Cities, CSDA, CASA, SMUA, Dozens of Water Districts and Cities</p> <p><u>Opposition:</u> CA Association of Realtors, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association (believe that the 120-day statute of limitations is too short)</p>	<p><u>Recommended Position:</u> Support</p> <p><u>Legislative Platform:</u></p> <p>Guiding Principle: Preserve and protect the City's powers, duties and prerogatives to enact local legislation and policy direction concerning local affairs and oppose legislation that preempts local authority. Local agencies should preserve authority and accountability for revenues raised and services provided.</p>
<p>SB 556 (Dodd)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requires a local government or municipal utility to make street light and traffic signal poles available for the placement of small wireless facilities Would allow local governments or municipal utilities to charge fair, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory fees. 	<p>Will be heard in the Assembly Communications Committee on July 7</p>	<p><u>Support:</u> Bay Area Council, Crown Castle, Orange County Business Council, T-Mobile USA, Inc., Verizon, several Information Sciences and Technology Associations</p> <p><u>Opposition:</u> Several dozen</p>	<p><u>Recommended Position:</u> Oppose</p> <p><u>Legislative Platform:</u></p> <p>Public Works: Oppose efforts that remove local regulatory authority on wireless infrastructure development.</p>

Fullerton Legislative Matrix
July 1, 2021

The City's legislative advocates, Townsend Public Affairs, developed the below Legislative Matrix in addition to an updated 2021 Legislative Platform. The below matrix includes upcoming bills that the Legislative Subcommittee may choose to take positions on upon adoption of the platform.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reasonable approximation of the direct and actual cost, consistent with the FCC small cell order • A municipal utility or local government must respond to a request for placement of a small wireless facility on a street light pole or traffic signal pole, or multiple poles, within 45 days of the date of receipt of the request, or within 60 days if the request is to attach to over 300 poles. • SB 556 also prohibits a local government or municipal utility from unreasonably denying a street light or traffic signal pole lease or license for the purpose of placing small wireless facilities 		cities across the State, League of California Cities, Local environmental groups and neighborhood associations	
SB 612 (Portantino)	<p>Specifically for CCAs, the bill would provide the following benefits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gives CCAs equitable access to Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) resources to meet a part of their Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) compliance requirements. • Gives CCAs equitable access to RA to meet RA compliance requirements; 	Has not been assigned to policy committee hearing in the Assembly	<p><u>Support:</u> California Community Choice Association, Redwood Coast Community Energy, San Jose Clean Energy, Dozens of cities and County Board of Supervisors, League of California Cities, Clean Power Alliance</p> <p><u>Opposition:</u> PG&E, Edison, The Utility Reform Network (TURN)</p>	<p><u>Recommended Position:</u> Support due to Fullerton's engagement in the Orange County Power Authority</p> <p><u>Legislative Platform:</u></p> <p>Administration: Support legislation that facilitates the ability of local government to share resources to increase efficiencies and decrease costs</p>

Fullerton Legislative Matrix
July 1, 2021

The City's legislative advocates, Townsend Public Affairs, developed the below Legislative Matrix in addition to an updated 2021 Legislative Platform. The below matrix includes upcoming bills that the Legislative Subcommittee may choose to take positions on upon adoption of the platform.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gives CCAs equitable access to GHG-free resources to reduce the carbon intensity of their own portfolios; • Increases the value of the RPS products in the portfolio by enabling long-term allocations to CCAs (rather than just short-term sales, which don't meet the 10-year requirement) 			
SB 619 (Laird)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prohibits CalRecycle from imposing penalties against local jurisdictions that have not met the organic waste recycling requirements pursuant to SB 1383 (Lara), Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016 before January 1, 2023, unless the jurisdiction did not make a reasonable effort to comply. 	Will next be heard in Assembly Appropriations	<u>Support:</u> League of CA Cities, CSAC, RCRC, CA Association of Food Banks, American Public Works Association dozens of individual cities <u>Opposition:</u> Yolo Food Bank, Yolo Climate Emergency Coalition	<u>Recommended Position:</u> Support <u>Legislative Platform:</u> Solid Waste and Recycling: Support legislation that will provide funding to cities that must comply with unfunded state mandates related to waste management, solid waste recycling and organics recycling